Thomson Scattering Imaging From Ultrashort Ultraintense Laser Interaction With Gas

L. A. Gizzi, C. A. Cecchetti, Antonio Giulietti, Danilo Giulietti, Petra Koester, Luca Labate, T. Levato, and N. Pathak

*Abstract***—Laser–plasma acceleration can provide acceleration gradients which are thousands of times stronger than conventional electron accelerators. The laser propagation length is a crucial parameter that must be extended to achieve high-energy electrons. Here, we show that color images of the laser–plasma interaction region taken from the direction perpendicular to the polarization plane are a powerful tool to discriminate between Thomson scattering and plasma self-emission, leading to a precise measurement of the propagation length.**

*Index Terms***—Plasma accelerators, plasma diagnostics, plasma waves, power.**

T HE LASER WAKEFIELD ACCELERATOR (LWFA) [1] is one of the most promising techniques for the acceleration of electron bunches that takes advantage of the high electric fields supported by electron plasma waves. In the LWFA, the electrons trapped in the plasma wave are accelerated to the phase velocity of the wave that can be very close to the speed of light. Recent experiments [2], [6], [7] and simulations [3] show that, in the so-called bubble regime of the LWFA, quasimonoenergetic electron bunches can be accelerated from the background population of electrons up to high $(> 100 \text{ MeV})$ energies.

In general, in laser–plasma acceleration experiments, the measurement and the control of the propagation length of the focused laser are crucial to determine the ultimate acceleration gradient to achieve high-energy electrons. Depending on the laser intensity, several mechanisms can give rise to the scattering of radiation in the optical domain and can be used to follow the propagation of the laser pulse in the gas. At moderate laser intensities, the so-called Thomson scattering gives rise to laser radiation emitted in the direction perpen-

Manuscript received January 5, 2011; revised July 11, 2011; accepted July 27, 2011. Date of publication September 15, 2011; date of current version November 9, 2011. This work was supported in part by the High Field Photonics Unit at Istituto Nazionale di Ottica-Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche and in part by the INFN PLASMON-X project.

L. A. Gizzi is with the Intense Laser Irradiation Laboratory, Istituto Nazionale di Ottica, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, 56124 Pisa, Italy, and also with Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, 56127, Pisa, Italy (e-mail: la.gizzi@gmail.com).

C. A. Cecchetti, A. Giulietti, P. Koester, L. Labate, and N. Pathak are with the Intense Laser Irradiation Laboratory, Istituto Nazionale di Ottica, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, 56124 Pisa, Italy (e-mail: carloalberto.cecchetti@ino.it; antonio.giulietti@ino.it; danilo.giulietti@df. unipi.it; petra.koester@ino.it; luca.labate@ino.it; pathaknav@gmail.com).

D. Giulietti is with the Physics Dept. Of the University and INFN, 56127, Pisa. He is also with Istituto Nazionale di Ottica, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, 56124, Pisa, Italy.

T. Levato is with the Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, 00044 Frascati, Italy (e-mail: tadzio.levato@lnf.infn.it).

Color versions of one or more of the figures in this paper are available online at http://ieeexplore.ieee.org.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TPS.2011.2164622

Fig. 1. Schematic setup used for detection of Thomson scattered radiation in a laser–plasma acceleration experiment.

dicular to the polarization of the laser electromagnetic wave. At higher laser intensities, nonlinear mechanisms arising from laser-driven instabilities like the stimulated Raman scattering [4] can account for the additional significant scattering of laser light. Here, we focus on the moderate intensity regime where the Thomson scattered radiation is dominant. In this regime, the motion of plasma electrons will move in the direction of the oscillating laser electric field, resulting in electromagnetic dipole radiation. The scattering can be described in terms of the emission coefficient ε , where ε dt dV dW d λ is the energy spectral density scattered by a volume element dV in time dt into solid angle dW between wavelengths λ and $\lambda + d\lambda$. In our case, with the collection optics placed perpendicularly to the polarization field, the emission coefficient is

$$
\varepsilon=\frac{\pi\sigma}{2}In_{e}
$$

where σ is the Thomson differential cross section, n_e is the electron density, and I is the incident laser flux. This result simply shows that the Thomson scattering provides combined information on the laser intensity and electron density. When knowledge on the plasma density can be derived independently, for example, from the plasma interferometry, Thomson scattering can be used to derive information on the laser intensity.

Here, we show the results of Thomson scattering imaging of a laser–plasma acceleration experiment in which a 120-mJ 65-fs FWHM duration laser pulse was focused onto a 4-mmwide and 1.2-mm-thick gas jet, consisting of N_2 at a backing pressure of up to 50 bar. Several diagnostics were used to study the laser–target interaction and the accelerated electrons as described in Fig. 1. A full account of the experiment can be found elsewhere [5]. Thomson scattering was set up to study the propagation of the laser pulse through the gas and the plasma formation via ionization. An F/10 achromatic doublet was used

Fig. 2. Typical top-view image of the gas-jet nozzle obtained by the Thomson scattering diagnostic channel showing the main features of the interaction. The waist of the laser beam is placed on the edge of the gas jet where Thomson scattering radiation is clearly visible (red in color image). Beyond that point, the laser beam expands, and the emission visible in the image is dominated by white light plasma self-emission. The insert in the top-right side of the image shows the magnified region of Thomson scattered radiation.

to produce a $10\times$ magnified image of the interaction region. Monochrome images of the plasma with appropriate filters are customarily used to discriminate between different sources of optical emission. In our case, a color CCD detection system was used instead.

Fig. 2 shows a typical Thomson image of the entire interaction area showing the nozzle, the interaction region, and the plasma emission. According to this image, the laser pulse propagates over a channel of more than 200 μ m long showing longitudinal spatial modulations of approximately $15-\mu m$ scale length, most likely due to oscillating self-focusing [5]. Beyond that point, the laser beam expands, and the emission visible in the image is dominated by plasma self-emission. According to the image of Fig. 2, the length of the channel is approximately twice the depth of focus (Rayleigh length) of 100 μ m expected for the focusing optics used in our experiment. This observation suggests that laser pulse channeling is taking place which significantly extends the length of the interaction region. Also visible in the magnified insert of Fig. 2 is the detailed structure of the Thomson scattering emission along the laser propagation direction. Images like the one shown in Fig. 2, obtained using a color CCD detector, clearly show that the different contributions to the emission from the laser–plasma interaction region can be easily detected and identified.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank W. Baldeschi, A. Barbini, F. Pardini, A. Rossi and M. Voliani for their technical and engineering support in the implementation of the experimental setup and data acquisition system.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Tajima and J. Dawson, "Laser electron accelerator," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 267–270, Jul. 1979.
- [2] S. P. S. Mangles, C. D. Murphy, Z. Najmudin, A. G. R. Thomas, J. L. Collier, A. E. Dangor, E. J. Divall, P. S. Foster, J. G. Gallacher, C. J. Hooker, D. A. Jaroszynski, A. J. Langley, W. B. Mori, P. A. Norreys, F. S. Tsung, R. Viskup, B. R. Walton, and K. Krushelnick, "Monoenergetic beams of relativistic electrons from intense laser–plasma interactions," *Nature*, vol. 431, no. 7008, pp. 535–538, Sep. 2004.
- [3] A. Pukhov and J. Meyer ter Vehn, "Laser wake field acceleration: The highly non-linear broken-wave regime," *Appl. Phys. B, Lasers Opt.*, vol. 74, no. 4/5, pp. 355–361, Apr. 2002.
- [4] T. Matsuoka, C. McGuffey, P. G. Cummings, Y. Horovitz, F. Dollar, V. Chvykov, G. Kalintchenko, P. Rousseau, V. Yanovsky, S. S Bulanov, A. G. R. Thomas, A. Maksimchuk, and K. Krushelnick, "Stimulated Raman side scattering in laser wakefield acceleration," *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, vol. 105, no. 3, p. 034 801, Jul. 2010.
- [5] L. A. Gizzi, C. Benedetti, S. Betti, C. A. Cecchetti, A. Gamucci, A. Giulietti, D. Giulietti, P. Koester, L. Labate, T. Levato, F. Michienzi, N. Pathak, A. Sgattoni, G. Turchetti, and F. Vittori, *The Science and Culture Series—Physics*. Singapore: World Scientific, April 2010, pp. 495–501.
- [6] C. G. R. Geddes, C. G. R. Geddes, C. Toth, J. van Tilborg, E. Esarey, C. B. Schroeder, D. Bruhwiler, C. Nieter, J. Cary, and W. P. Leemans, "High-quality electron beams from a laser wakefield accelerator using plasma-channel guiding," *Nature*, vol. 431, no. 7008, pp. 538–541, Sep. 2004.
- [7] J. Faure, Y. Glinec, A. Pukhov, S. Kiselev, S. Gordienko, E. Lefebvre, J.-P. Rousseau, F. Burgy, and V. Malka, "A laser–plasma accelerator producing monoenergetic electron beams," *Nature*, vol. 431, no. 7008, pp. 541–544, Sep. 2004.